Introducing Railway Time in the Balkans

Economic effects of railway construction in Southeast Europe and beyond since the early 19th century until present days*

$\mathsf{Stefan}\ \mathsf{Jestl}^\diamond$

with Eduard Alvarez[×], Mario Holzner[◊] and Jordi Marti-Henneberg^{*}

[◦]Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies [×]University of Cambridge, *University of Lleida







'wiiw IOG Conference'

'Falling Behind and Catching Up - Southeast Europe and East Central Europe in Comparison'

*Realised in cooperation with the Global Development Network (GDN) and financed by the Jubiläumsfonds of OeNB.

June 23, 2016

Stefan Jestl (wiiw)

Economic Effects of Railway Infrastructure

June 23, 2016 1 / 19

1 Introduction

2 Data

- 3 Country Level Analysis
- 4 City Level Analysis

5 Conclusion

Railway Network Density in Europe 1870, 1910 and 2010



Source: HGISE (2015).

Stefan Jestl (wiiw)

Economic Effects of Railway Infrastructure

June 23, 2016 3 / 19

Year of Surpassing 2000 USD in GDP per capita



Note: Kosovo 2002 estimate based on wiiw data, CZ observation for Cezch and Slovan Republic; Belgian observation for Luxembourg, Soviet observation for Russia, Latvia, Lithuania, Belarus, Ukraine and Moldova. Source: The Maddison-Project (2013), wiiw, own estimates.

Stefan Jestl (wiiw)

Economic Effects of Railway Infrastructure

June 23, 2016 4 / 19

Question at country level

'What is the impact of a higher railway mileage on economic growth, in particular for less developed (i.e. Southeast European) countries?'

Question at local level

'What are the direct and spillover effects of railway accessibility in Balkan cities?'

- Maddison database
 - GDP per capita in real terms (in 1990 International Geary-Khamis dollar) covering European countries from 1830 to 2010 (unbalanced)
- Historical Geographical Information System for Europe (HGISE)
 - Country data per decade on railway infrastructure stock and country coverage covering European countries from 1830 to 2010 (balanced)
 - City data per decade on population (unbalanced), railway, geographical characteristics (both balanced) covering Balkan cities from 1850 to 2000
- To ensure comparability along the entire time span \Rightarrow current country borders
 - In many cases territorial sub-divisions of historical empires with long-lasting institutions
 - The set of additional explanatory variables is restricted

- Adressing the first research question
- Period of time: 1830-2010 in decades (unbalanced panel)
- Econometric Approach
 - Panel fixed effects regression model (baseline)

$$y_t = X_{t-10}\beta + D_t\theta + \mu_i + \eta_t + \epsilon_t \tag{1}$$

 y_t ... real GDP per capita (annualised) growth rate ($n \times 1$)

 X_{t-10} ... time-lagged explanatory variables $(n \times p)$: (ihs-transformed) railway density, quartiles of GDP per capita

 D_t ... institutional control dummies $(n \times h)$: EU, Communism, Yugoslavia, Habsburg, Ottoman, Romanov Empire

 $\mu_i, \eta_t \dots$ fixed effects

 $\epsilon_t \ldots$ error term

Country Level Analysis - Total Sample - Selected Results

Dependent variable:	GDP per capita growth rate t			
	1830-2010			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Railway density _{t-10}	0.360**	0.704***	0.245*	0.648***
4^{th} quartile of GDP per capita $_{t-10}$	-0.495 (0.550)	-0.582	-0.307 (0.514)	-0.825 (0.534)
\mathcal{S}^{th} quartile of GDP per capita $_{t-10}$	0.202 (0.370)	0.0972 (0.338)	0.325 (0.339)	-0.284 (0.410)
2^{th} quartile of GDP per capita $_{t-10}$	0.136 (0.302)	0.0417 (0.307)	0.171 (0.296)	-0.208 (0.392)
Railway density $_{t-10}$ * railway density $_{t-10}$		-0.0858** (0.0315)		
Railway density _{t-10} * Balkan			0.540*** (0.135)	
Railway density $_{t-10}$ * 4 th quartile of GDP per capita $_{t-10}$				-0.508*** (0.164)
Railway density $_{t-10}$ * 3 th quartile of GDP per capita $_{t-10}$				-0.226 (0.150)
Railway density $_{t-10} $ * 2 th quartile of GDP per capita $_{t-10}$				-0.231 (0.166)
Adjusted R ²	0.523	0.526	0.528	0.528
Countries	33	33	33	33
N	351	351	351	351

Country Level Analysis - Subsample - Selected Results

Dependent variable:	GDP per capita growth rate t			
	1960-2010			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Railway density t-10	-0.327	9.050***	-4.508***	0.880
	(1.604)	(2.481)	(1.334)	(1.457)
4 th quartile of GDP per capita t-10	-1.979**	-1.409**	-1.677**	-1.709**
	(0.816)	(0.680)	(0.642)	(0.693)
3 th quartile of GDP per capita t-10	-1.576**	-1.227*	-1.427**	-1.792**
	(0.731)	(0.663)	(0.660)	(0.680)
2^{th} quartile of GDP per capita $_{t-10}$	-0.941	-0.894	-0.893*	-1.062
	(0.577)	(0.542)	(0.520)	(0.633)
Railway density $_{t-10}$ * railway density $_{t-10}$		-1.334***		
, , , , ,		(0.351)		
Railwav density +_10 * Balkan		、 ,	6.640***	
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			(1.640)	
Railway density +_10 * 4 th quartile of GDP per capita +_10			(====)	-4.294***
······································				(1.339)
Railway density + 10 * 3 th quartile of GDP per capita + 10				-3 164*
namialy density [=10 of quartile of GBT per capita [=10				(1.630)
Railway density to * 2th quartile of GDP per capita to				-1.606
Name of GDT per capita $t=10$				(1.045)
				(1.045)
Adjusted R^2	0 517	0 542	0 553	0 535
Countries	33	33	33	33
N	171	171	171	171
	-11	111	-11	111

Stefan Jestl (wiiw)

June 23, 2016 9 / 19

- Addressing the research question at the local level
- No data on real GDP per capita at local level ⇒ use population growth of cities as a proxy for regional economic development
- Period of time: 1850-2000 per decades for Balkan cities (unbalanced panel)
- Econometric Approach
 - Linear spatially augmented multilevel regression model (with random intercept)
 - Combining micro and macro level variables
 - Considering data structure (i.e. cities are clustered in countries)
 - Analysing the impact of railway accessibility of neighbouring cities (exogenous spatial lag)

$$y_{jk} = X_{jk}\beta + W_{jk}B\theta + \delta t + u_k + u_{jk} + \epsilon_{jk}$$
⁽²⁾

 y_{jk} ... (annualised) population growth $(n_{jk} \times 1)$

 X_{jk} ... explanatory variables ($n_{jk} \times l$): micro: time-lagged railway fractality, time-lagged population level, geographical measures, distance to capital city, origin of the capital used to build the railway tracks, railways hierarchy level; macro: conflict and crisis dummies, railway density, GDP per capita growth rate

B ... explanatory variable for spatial lag: time-lagged railway fractality ($B \subset X$) W_{jk} refers to jk^{th} row of the row-standardized spatial weight matrix W ($N \times N$), where

$$w_{jk,s} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } jk = s \forall jk,s \\ \frac{1}{d_{jk,s}} & \text{if } jk \neq s \forall jk,s \end{cases}$$

 $t \dots$ trend; u_k , $u_{jk} \dots$ random effects; $\epsilon_{jk} \dots$ error term

j cities nested in k countries, whereby there are $i = 1, \ldots, n_{jk}$ obs for each city over time

・ロン ・聞と ・ ほと ・ ほと

City Level Analysis - Selected Results with Spatial Lag

Dependent	variable: Population growth rate $_t$			
			1850-2000	
		(A)	(B)	(C)
Micro:	Fractality t-10	0.310	0.225	0.186
		(1.97)**	(2.28)**	(2.54)**
	Neighbours' fractality t-10	3.402	2.152	1.503
		(2.11)**	(2.32)**	(2.33)**
	Population level t-10	-0.238	-0.248	-0.254
		(4.94)***	(5.08)***	(5.19)***
	Distance to coast	-0.222	-0.230	-0.238
		(4.29)***	(4.43)***	(4.55)***
	Elevation	0.095	0.100	0.104
		(1.95)*	(2.04)**	(2.11)**
	Ruggedness	-0.073	-0.072	-0.073
		(2.37)**	(2.32)**	(2.37)**
	Funding from Austro-Hungary _t	-0.475	-0.489	-0.503
		(3.89)***	(4.01)***	(4.11)***
	Funding from France t	-0.868	-0.886	-0.907
		(2.74)***	(2.78)***	(2.85)***
	Distance to capital city	-0.209	-0.206	-0.201
		(4.94)***	(4.86)***	(4.76)***
Macro:	Railway density t-10	0.464	0.419	0.391
		(3.49)***	(3.05)***	(2.77)***
	GDP per capita growth rate t-10	0.093	0.092	0.092
		(6.24)***	(6.22)***	(6.20)***
Countries		11	11	11
Ν		2,347	2,347	2,347

Stefan Jestl (wiiw)

Economic Effects of Railway Infrastructure

June 23, 2016 12 / 19

A B > A B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Conclusion

- Positive impact of railway infrastructure on GDP per capita growth for European countries
 - Higher for less developed European countries, particularly Balkan countries
 - Impact has changed during centuries
- Railway accessibility has positive direct as well as indirect effects on population growth of Balkan cities
 - Direct effects: agglomeration effects
 - Indirect effects: network effects and demand-driven externalities
 - Within as well as across countries
- Crucial role of (railway) transport infrastructure for economic development for Southeast European economies
 - Discussion on investments in infrastructure



Aghion, P., et al. (2013)

Investment in infrastructure

Investing in Propensity-Skills, infrastructure and innovation - Report of LSE p.22-27.



Aghion, P. and M. Schankerman (1990)

Competition, entry and social returns to infrastructure in transition economies *Economics of Transition* 7(1), p. 79-101.



Ahlfeldt, G.M. and A. Feddersen (2015)

From periphery to core: measuring agglomeration effects using high-speed rail *SERC discussion papers* No. 0172.

Atack, J., M., et al. (2008)

Railroads and the Rise of the Factory: Evidence for the United States NBER Working Papers No. 15520.



Calderon C. and L. Serven (2014)

Infrastructure, Growth, and Inequality - An Overview World Bank Policy Working Paper No. 7034.



Canning, D. and E. Bennathan (2000) The Social Rate of Return on Infrastructure Investment World Bank Policy Research Paper No. 2390.



Canning, D. and P. Pedroni (2008) Infrastructure and long-run economic growth *The Manchester School* 76(5), pp. 504-527.



Caruana-Galizia, P. and J. Marti-Henneberg (2013) European regional railways and real income, 1870-1910: a preliminary report *Scandinavian Economic History Review* 61(2), pp. 167-196.

Stefan Jestl (wiiw)

June 23, 2016 15 / 19



Da Silveira, L. E., et al. (2011)

Population and railways in Portugal, 1801-1930 Journal of Interdisciplinary History 42(1), pp. 29-52.

Holzner, M., et al. (2015)

Infrastructure investment in the Western Balkans wiiw Research Report No. 407.

Koopmans, C., et al. (2012)

An accessibility approach to railways and municipal population growth, 1840-1930 *Journal of Transport Geography* 2012(25), pp. 98-104.

Kotavaara, O., M., et al. (2014)

Role of Accessibility and Socio-Economic variables in Modelling Population Change at varying Scale

Journal of Geographic Information System 2014(6), pp. 386-403.

Rosenstein-Rodan, P.N. (1943)

Problems of Industrialisation of Eastern and South-Eastern Europe *Economic Journal* 53(210-211), pp. 202-211.

Schwartz, R.M. (2011)

Spatial History: Railways, Uneven Development, and Population Change in France and Great Britain, 1850-1914

Journal of Interdisciplinary History 42(1), pp. 53-88.

Sugolov, P., et al. (2002)

Infrastructure Policies and Economic Development in East European Transition Countries: First Evidence

Paper for the Annual Conference of the International Society for New Institutional Economics September 2002.

Country Level Analysis - Subsample - Selected Results

Dependent variable:	GDP per capita growth rate t			
	1830-1950			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Railway density t-10	0.303*	0.437***	0.290*	0.454**
	(0.146)	(0.148)	(0.145)	(0.181)
4 th quartile of GDP per capita t-10	-0.712	-0.791	-0.603	-0.758
	(0.908)	(0.869)	(0.903)	(0.819)
3 th guartile of GDP per capita t-10	0.186	0.0985	0.281	-0.156
	(0.541)	(0.512)	(0.530)	(0.469)
2^{th} guartile of GDP per capita $_{t=10}$	0.134	0.0607	0.211	-0.117
1	(0.385)	(0.364)	(0.366)	(0.255)
Railway density $_{t-10}$ * railway density t-10	()	-0.0371	()	()
		(0.0223)		
Railway density +_10 * Balkan		(***==*)	0.206*	
			(0.106)	
Railway density $_{+10}$ * 4 th quartile of GDP per capita $_{+10}$			()	-0.318**
······································				(0.114)
Railway density $_{t-10} * 3^{th}$ quartile of GDP per capita $_{t-10}$				-0.0701
				(0.0963)
Railway density + 10 * 2 th quartile of GDP per capita + 10				-0.123
				(0.122)
				(0.122)
Adjusted R ²	0.355	0.354	0.354	0.356
Countries	21	21	21	21
Ν	180	180	180	180

Stefan Jestl (wiiw)

June 23, 2016 18 / 19

City Level Analysis - Selected Results

Dependent	variable: Population growth rate t			
			1850-2000	
		(A)	(B)	(C)
Micro:	Fractality t-10	0.348	0.264	0.223
		(2.25)**	(2.74)***	(3.14)***
	Population level $t-10$	-0.236	-0.248	-0.254
		(4.94)***	(5.14)***	(5.26)***
	Distance to coast	-0.198	-0.203	-0.209
		(3.95)***	(4.04)***	(4.15)***
	Elevation	0.083	0.086	0.089
		(1.73)*	(1.79)*	(1.86)*
	Ruggedness	-0.071	-0.069	-0.069
		(2.31)**	(2.25)**	(2.28)**
	Funding from Austro-Hungary _t	-0.410	-0.423	-0.433
		(3.48)***	(3.58)***	(3.67)***
	Funding from France t	-0.867	-0.89	-0.899
		(2.75)***	(2.82)***	(2.85)***
	Distance to capital city	-0.199	-0.195	-0.192
		(4.77)***	(4.67)***	(4.60)***
Macro:	Railway density t-10	0.581	0.570	0.559
		(4.83)***	(4.72)***	(4.63)***
	GDP per capita growth rate $_{t-10}$	0.094	0.094	0.094
		(6.30)***	(6.30)***	(6.31)***
Countries		11	11	11
N		2,347	2,347	2,347

Stefan Jestl (wiiw)

Economic Effects of Railway Infrastructure

June 23, 2016 19 / 19

-

3